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Background: Plotting a set of values on a bar graph requires determining the minimum and maximum values (i.e., the range) so that the data can be scaled to fit the screen coordinates of the graph. There are three fairly well-known algorithms for finding the minimum and maximum: linear (sequential) search, comparing adjacent pairs, and divide and conquer. All three solutions are $O(n)$, but differ in their execution time due to differences in the number of comparisons they require.

Assignment: The main program includes all three of these algorithms and a fourth named LinearSIMD that is a modified linear search using SIMD instructions that makes multiple comparisons simultaneously. I.e., you can compile and run the program without writing any assembly. However, your task is to create an equivalent replacement for LinearSIMD in assembly using the C version to guide your im-

|  | \# compares: |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Algorithm | Best <br> case | Worst <br> case |
| Sequential search | $2 n-1$ | $n-1$ |
| Comparing pairs | $\frac{3 n}{2}-2$ | $\frac{3 n}{2}-2$ |
| Divide and conquer | $\frac{3 n}{2}-2$ | $\frac{3 n}{2}-1$ | plementation. The original C version has been defined as "weak", so that the linker will automatically replace it in the executable image by the one you create in assembly; you do not need to remove the C version.

The first parameter of function LinearSIMD is the starting address of a word-aligned array of 16-bit unsigned integers; the second is the number of items in the array. The function returns a 32-bit word in register R0, with the minimum value in the least-significant 16 bits and the maximum in the most-significant 16-bits.

The main program creates a random array of integers, measures the execution time of all four algorithms, and then uses the minimum and maximum values to scale the array so that it may be plotted on the display. This process repeats indefinitely five times per second unless an error occurs. If your code is correct, the display will look like the one shown. The numbers in the last four rows indicate performance as measured by the total number of clock cycles of each algorithm divided by the number of items in the array ${ }^{1}$. If your code contains an error, its results will be displayed as white text on a red background and testing will pause; pressing the blue pushbutton will cause testing to resume. To receive full credit, your SIMD assembly version must be faster than all three of the other algorithms.


[^0]
[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Note: The main program contains both a recursive and an iterative implementation of the divide and conquer algorithm. The recursive version is not used, but provides a clearer representation of the algorithm; the iterative version is used by the program for better run-time performance. Although the divide and conquer algorithm and the comparing pairs algorithm both use about the same number of comparisons, the additional overhead of stacking and unstacking parameters causes the divide and conquer implementation to be significantly slower.

